

NEW YORK STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

TSBA One Elk Street, Albany, New York 12207 • PH 518.463.3200 • www.nysba.org

ELDER LAW SECTION

2013-2014 Executive Committee

NCES M. PANTALEO

914/251-1115, FMP@walsh-amicucci.com RICHARD A. WEINBLATT

Chair-Elect 631/582-5151, raw@hwclaw.com JULIEANN CALARESO

Vice-Chair 518/452-1961, jcalareso@burkecasserly.com DAVID GOLDFARB

Secretary 212/387-8400, goldfarb@seniorlaw.com

MARTIN HERSH

Treasurer 845-292-9345, elder.law@verizon.net

Financial Officer 518/869-6227, marty@lavelleandfinn.com

"HONY J. ENEA Immediate Past Chair 914/948-1500, aenea@aol.con STANDING COMMITTEE CHAIRS

CLIENT AND CONSUMER ISSUES

Michel P. Haggerty/Shari Hubner, Co-Chairs Fern J. Finkel, Vice-Chair

DIVERSITY

Deepankar Mukerji/Pauline Yeung-Ha,Co-Chairs Elizabeth Valentin, Vice-Chair

ELDER ABUSE

Joy Solomon, Chair Robert Freedman, Vice-Chair

ESTATES, TRUSTS and TAX ISSUES

Salvatore DiCostanzo/Robert J. Kurre, Co-Chairs Jeffrey A. Asher/Patricia J. Shevy/Stephen J. Silverberg Judith Nolfo-McKenna, Vice-Chairs

FTHICS

Judith B. Raskin, Chair Natalie J. Kaplan, Vice-Chair

FINANCIAL PLANNING AND INVESTMENTS William D. Pfeiffer/Donna Stefans, Co-Chairs

GUARDIANSHIPS

Robert Kruger/ Ellyn S. Kravitz, Co-Chairs Patricia Bave-Planell/Anthony J. Lamberti/ Kimberlee F. Trigoboff, Vice-Chairs

HEALTH CARE ISSUES

Tammy R. Lawlor Miles P. Zatkowsky, Co-Chairs LEGAL EDUCATION Anthony Enea/T. David Stapleton, Jr., Co-Chairs

LEGISLATION
Amy S. O'Connor/Ira Salzman, Co-Chairs
Deepankar Mukerji/Matthew Nolfo/T. David Stapleton, Jr., Vice-Chairs

MEDIATION

Judith D. Grimaldi/Laurie L. Menzies, Co-Chairs Robert Shaw, Vice-Chair

MEDICAID

Beth Polner Abrahams/Melinda Bellus, Co-Chairs Valerie Bogart/Rene H. Reixach, Jr., Vice-Chairs

MEMBERSHIP SERVICES

Ellen G. Makofsky/Matthew Nolfo, Co-Chairs

MENTAL HEALTH

Julie Stoil Fernandez, Chair Britt N. Burner/Suanne L. Chiacchiaro/Martin Petroff, Vice-Chairs

Timothy Casserly/Joan L. Robert, Co-Chairs Anne Dello-lacono/Richard A. Marchese, Jr., Vice-Chairs

PRACTICE MANAGEMENT AND TECHNOLOGY

Anthony J. Enea /Robert J. Kurre, Co-Chairs Ronald Fatoullah, Vice-Chair

PUBLICATIONS
Adrienne J. Arkontaky/David I. Kronenberg, Co-Chairs

REAL ESTATE AND HOUSING Jeanette Grabie, Chair/Neil Rimsky, Co-Chairs SPECIAL NEEDS PLANNING

Joseph Greenman /Robert P. Mascali, Co-Chairs Adrienne J. Arkontaky/Lisa K. Friedman/David R. Okrent/ Tara A. Pleat, Vice-Chairs

SPONSORSHIP

Jeanette Grabie, Chair Elizabeth Briand, Vice-Chair VETERAN'S BENEFITS

Antonia J. Martinez, Chair Nina M. Daratsos, Vice-Chair

LIAISON TO HEALTH LAW SECTION Tammy R. Lawlor

LIAISON TO LAW SCHOOLS
Marianne Artusio/Margaret M. Flint/Peter J. Strauss
LIAISON TO TRUSTS and ESTATES SECTION

Cora A. Alsante/Robert Freedman/Patricia Shevy

LIAISON TO SENIOR LAWYERS SECTION Walter T. Burke

LIAISON TO YOUNG LAWYERS SECTION Michael D. Dezik

FOUNDING CHAIR

Mortimer J. Goodstein

Murriel S. Kessler Robert Abrams Robert M. Freedman Vincent J. Russo Walter T. Burke

Cora A. Alsante

Kathryn Grant Joan I Robert Michael E. O'Connor Rernard A. Krooks Louis W. Pierro

Howard S. Krooks Daniel G. Fish Ellen G. Makofsky Ami S. Longstreet

Timothy E. Casserly Michael J. Amoruso Sharon Koyacs Gruer T. David Stapleton, Jr. Anthony J. Enea

December 18, 2013

Karen Lane

Acting Executive Deputy Commissioner

NYC HRA Medical Insurance and Community Services Administration

180 Water Street

New York, New York 10038

by e-mail lanek@hra.nyc.gov

Sabra Kaszynski, Associate General Counsel

Managing Attorney, MICSA Litigation and Program Counseling Division

HRA Office of Legal Affairs

180 Water Street, Room 1630

New York, NY 10038

by e-mail kaszynskis@hra.nyc.gov

Re: Failure to Budget MMMNA for Spouses Executing a

Spousal Refusal

Dear Ms. Lane and Ms. Kaszynski:

On behalf of the Elder Law Section of the New York State Bar. Association, we are writing to you to address HRA's practice of failing to budget a Community Spouse Monthly Income Allowance (CSMIA) in connection with a nursing home Medicaid application where the spouse in the community files a spousal refusal as to assets. Since 2012, a significant number of community spouses have been denied a CSMIA to which they are entitled.

At least four Fair Hearing decisions issued in the past year have held that HRA's failure to budget a CSMIA when the spouse in the community files a spousal refusal as to assets is contrary to NYS ADM 91-ADM-33 and the NYS DOH Medicaid Reference Guide at page 396. The first known hearing decision, dated March 1, 2013 (copy attached), reverses an HRA determination dated Sept. 20, 2012. The decision cited these authorities and held, "The Agency's determination not to

DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVES:

Matthew Nolfo, New York City Fern J. Finkel, Brooklyn Robert P Mascali Menands Martin S. Finn, Latham Jeffrey N. Rheinhardt, Ilion Alyssa M. Barreiro, Binghamton Richard A. Marchese, Jr., Rochester Charles W. Beinhauer, Buffalo Deepankar Mukerji, White Plains David Okrent Dix Hills Deborah S. Ball, New York City Joy S. Solomon, Riverda Anthony J. Lamberti, Brooklyn

MEMBERS-AT-LARGE

Lee a. Hoffman, Jr. Peter J. Strauss

calculate a CSMIA based on the execution of a spousal refusal cannot be sustained." Fair Hearing 6208131N. The decision specifically rejected an argument raised by HRA's counsel that relied on 89-ADM-47 as authority to deny the CSMIA. The fair hearing decision specifically held that the later 91-ADM-33 amended the 1989 ADM cited on this point and entitles the spouse to the CSMIA. At least three subsequent decisions, listed in the chart below, reached the same result.

HRA determination date	FH decision	Link to FH decision
9/20/12	3/1/13	http://otda.ny.gov/fair%20hearing%20images/2013-3/Redacted 6208131N.pdf
10/22/12	5/24/13	http://otda.ny.gov/fair%20hearing%20images/2013-5/Redacted_6258047L.pdf
12/4/12	9/4/13	http://otda.ny.gov/fair%20hearing%20images/2013-9/Redacted 6291138Y.pdf
2/15/13	9/5/13	http://otda.ny.gov/fair%20hearing%20images/2013-9/Redacted_6318971R.pdf

Yet despite this clear, official interpretation of State policy, HRA has continued to deny community spouses the CSMIA that they are entitled to. After the first hearing decision issued March 1, 2013, HRA continued to defend denials of the CSMIA issued prior to that date, and was reversed in the three hearing decisions in the table above.

Moreover, HRA's Nursing Home Eligibility Division continues to apply this policy after March 1, 2013 to deny the CSMIA. Here are three examples, with documentation attached.

- 1. Notice of Acceptance, dated 10/15/13, Woodmere Rehab & HCC, approving Medicaid with a NAMI of \$3244/month (copy attached). No mention is made that the notice implicitly denied the CSMIA to the spouse, who had done a spousal refusal.
- 2. LEO Lxxx On Sept. 25, 2013, HRA NHED reauthorized his Medicaid with *no CSMIA* (budget attached), which reversed HRA's own prior Medicaid acceptance in October 2010 that budgeted a CSMIA for his wife, Helen Lxxxxx, who had filed a spousal refusal (notice attached). The CSMIA has been about \$1,646, and the institutionalized spouse has been paying a substantial NAMI of about \$4,750/month, after part of his income has been allocated to his spouse for these three years. The September 25, 2013 Notice fails to include any notice that the previously authorized CSMIA was being terminated, with the right to appeal.

When the community spouse asked both the nursing home and HRA why no CSMIA was allocated, she was told that she had to withdraw her spousal refusal in order to reinstate the CSMIA. On October 4, 2013, without the advice of counsel, the community spouse rescinded her spousal refusal. On November 7, 2013, HRA issued a revised budget that allocates a CSMIA. Since then, under advice of counsel, the community spouse has again reinstated the spousal refusal, and is again at risk of being denied the CSMIA.

3. On May 2, 2013, HRA issued a renewal notice that budgeted a NAMI of \$918.88 for a resident of the Carmel Richmond nursing home in Staten Island (redacted copies attached). The notice fails to mention that HRA was discontinuing a CSMIA for his spouse that HRA had previously approved for the preceding five years since Mr. P was first approved for Medicaid in October 2008. Now, in 2013, the nursing home told the spouse that she had to withdraw her spousal refusal. (See attachment). During these five years, the wife has depleted her own assets and, in fact, no longer needs the spousal refusal. She has now submitted a withdrawal of the spousal refusal, which is pending. However, she should not have been put through this stress, and others in her situation are still entitled to an CSMIA without withdrawing a spousal refusal.

We know of a number of other cases that elder lawyers or other advocates were able to resolve with HRA without a hearing, but only after months of delay and with aggressive advocacy.

We are alarmed to hear of other cases in which the community spouse, like the third example cited above, was pressured by the nursing home or by HRA to withdraw her spousal refusal in order to be budgeted for a CSMIA. No doubt there are many couples who lack any representation where the community spouse is deprived of vital support through the CSMIA.

The above fair hearing decisions are binding on HRA under *stare decisis* principles. <u>Charles A. Field Delivery Service v. Roberts</u>, 66 N.Y.2d 516, 495 N.Y.S.2d 111 (1985); <u>Long v. Perales</u>, 568 N.Y.S.2d 657 (2d Dept. 1991). HRA's continued practice of denying the CSMIA in these situations is clearly illegal. Moreover, the failure to provide timely and adequate notices to the community spouses with the right to a hearing to appeal the termination of a previously authorized CSMIA violates basic due process requirements.

We ask that you re-budget LEO Lxxxx (Case #2 above) with a CSMIA for his spouse, and confirm that HRA will immediately cease its illegal practice of denying the CSMIA to community spouses who executed a spousal refusal in all future determinations. Further, we ask that HRA identify, reopen and retroactively redetermine all eligibility notices issued since September 2012, or such other date on which HRA began this illegal practice of failing to budget the CSMIA where the community spouse executed a spousal refusal.

We would be happy to address this issue with you either in person or through a phone conference after the new year. Our Section is hopeful that we can resolve this issue without litigation. Please contact Valerie Bogart at vbogart@nylag.org or 212-613-5074.

Thank you for your anticipated cooperation, and best wishes for the holidays.

Very truly yours,

Valerie Bryant

Valerie Bogart, Esq. vbogart@nylag.org

Rene H. Reixach, Jr., Esq. rreixach@woodsoviatt.com

Vice Chairs, Medicaid Committee, NYS Bar Association Elder Law Section

Melinda Bellus, Esq. mbellus@lshv.org

Co-Chair, Medicaid Committee, NYS Bar Association Elder Law Section

Matthew Nolfo, Esq. mnolfo@estateandelderlaw.net

Vice-Chair, Legislation Committee, NYS Bar Association Elder Law Section

Stephen Silverberg, Esq. sjs@sjslawpc.com

Vice-Chair, Estates, Tax and Trusts Issues Committee, NYS Bar Association Elder Law Section and Attorney for Helen Lxxxxxx (Case #2)

Frances M. Pantaleo, Esq. fmp@walsh-amicucci.com

Chair, NYS Bar Association Elder Law Section

Encl.